# QUALICOAT 3.0 FOR SPECIFIC ALLOYS FOR ARCHITECTURAL APPLICATIONS ALUMINIUM EXTRUDED PROFILES PRODUCT INSPECTION

## **SCOPE OF THE INSPECTION**

The scope of this audit is to check the extrusion facilities (process and final product) in order to evaluate the extruded profile quality

#### **EXTRUSION COMPANY**

NAME:				
ADDRESS:				
TEL:				
DATE OF INSPECTION:				
TESTING LABORATORY				
INSPECTOR:				
CONTACT PERSON:				
E-MAIL:				
TYPE OF INSPECTION				
☐ IMPLEMENTATION OF Q	UALICO	AT 3.0 SP	ECIFIC ALLOY	
ALUMINUM SERIES				
TYPE				
APPLICANT				
☐ DOES THE COMPANY H	IAVE APF	PROVED	SPECIFIC ALLOY	S?
		YES	NO 🗆	
Is the inspection unannounced?		YES	NO 🗌	
Is an inspection possible?		YES	NO $\square$	

Description of the work/building
Location /Address
Size of project:
- Expected Duration of the project (years)*:
Recommended number of inspections (depends on the size of the work):
☐ First visit ☐ Second visit ☐ Others
*At least one inspection shall be carried out every six months of duration of the project.
REMARKS:
1. STORAGE CONDITIONS OF BILLETS
Do the storage conditions comply with the supplier's specifications?
☐ YES ☐ NO
The surface of the billet shall be free of oxides produced during storage in the open air and slag or inclusions from the casting (visual assessment).
☐ YES ☐ NO
REMARKS:
2. RAW MATERIAL
<b>2.1 Type of alloy</b> ☐ AA 6060 ☐ AA 6063 ☐ OTHERS:
☐ PRIMARY ALUMINIUM
SECONDARY ALUMINIUM  PRE-CONSUMER ALUMINIUM  POST-CONSUMER ALUMINIUM
Declared percentage of the aluminium used for producing the billet:  Primary:

REQUIREMENTS ASSESSMENT

# ALUMINIUM EXTRUDED PROFILES PRODUCT INSPECTION

## 2.2 Surface quality of billet received from supplier

Is the surface of the billets free from defects?

DEFECTS

	Oxides		NO		
	Metallic inclusions, slags		NO		
	Bumps, stipes		NO		
	Surface uniformi quality)	ty (Surface	YES		
□ Y	ES 🗆	NO			
REMA	ARKS:				
2.3 Labe	elling				
Does it in	clude the type of a	alloy?			
☐ YES	□ N	0			
Does the	billet include a su	pplier's labe	l?		
☐ Y	ES 🗆	NO			
The infor	mation contained i	n the label c	omply with the suppl	ier's specifications	s?
☐ Y	ES 🗆	NO			
If yes, the	e information assu	res the trace	ability of the material	?	
☐ Y	ES 🗆	NO			
REM	ARKS:				
2.4 Pre-	cut billets surfa	ce before e	extrusion process		
ls the sur	face of the billets	free from def	fects?		
☐ YI	ES 🗆	NO			

Is the	e cut orthogona	I to the	billet walls? (vis	sual assess	ment).		
	YES		NO				
2.5 E	xtruded profi	iles su	rface				
	urface of the exaccording to a		-	npt of defec	ts? A vis	ual assessment	will be
	DEFECTS			REQUIRE	MENTS	ASSESSMENT	• ]
	Die lines						
	Strikes						
	Tearing						
	Metallic inclus	sions, s	lags				
	Dark bands						
	Blistering						
	Pick-up						
	Surface unifo	rmity (S	Surface quality)				
	YES		NO				
	ITIONAL EQUE		NT TO EXTRU	JSION PR	ESS		
Туре	of oven:				Gas	☐ Ind	duction
	ven is working assembly co		•		YES		NO
The	container is co	oled by	liquid nitrogen?		YES		NO
	rusion dies s cleanliness a	nd tolei	rances periodica	ally reviewe	d betwee	n extrusion cycle	s?
			YES		□no		
It ha	as a nitriding ed	quipme	nt plant for dies	?			
			YES		□no		

The extrusion desk ha	as contact	points made l	by y graphit	te?	
	☐ YES			□ NO	
3.4 Extruded profile co	ooling sys	_	oulised Wat	er 🗌 Wate	ər
3.5 Stretching Is this system availab	le?	☐ YES		□ NO	
Is possible the contro	l of the app	olied load and	the deform	nation produced?	•
		□YES		□NO	
Are the data registere	ed?	□YES		$\square$ NO	
4. AGEING OVEN SYST  Is the equipment availa		YES		NO	
Oven typology Conditions measured		Gas		Electric	
	TEMPE	RATURE	TIME		
Are there written proced			meters?	□YES □NO	
REMARKS:					

## **5. CONTROL OF EXTRUSION PROCESS PARAMETERS**

## 5.1 Preheating billet

- Pre-heating tempe	erature	
	RECOMMENDED MEASURED	
	≤ 450°C	
Correct	☐ YES ☐ NO	
- Pre-heating time	MEASURED	
	(min)	
Are there conditions m	easured conform to written procedures? ☐ YES	□NO
5.2 Billet tempera - Temperature	ture before pressing	
	REQUIRED MEASURED	
	400-450 °C	
Correct	☐ YES ☐ NO	
- Time		
	MEASURED (min)	
Are there conditions m	easured conform to written procedures?  YES	□ NO
5.3 Extruded profile	temperature at exit of the die	
	RECOMMENDED MEASURED	
	≤ 580 °C	
5.4 Dumper pressur	re applied	
	MEASURED	
	(MPa)	

#### 5.5 Extrusion rate of profile

MEASURED	
(m/min)	

#### 5.6 Cooling rate of the profile

RECOMMENDED	MEASURED

Note: as a general rule the cooling rate is 1°C per each second of cooling

#### 5.7 Butt end size

RECOMMENDED	MEASURED
3-5%	

REMARKS:			

#### 6. ALLOY COMPOSITION (According to the extruder documents)

Codification					AS	SSES	SMEN	Т		
Codification	Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Cr	Zn	Ti	Mg/Si	Fe/Si

Satisfactory: ✓ No Satisfactory: ×

$\ egin{array}{l} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$

REMARK: If this information is not available, complete Annex I with the laboratory data

#### 7. VISUAL ASSESSMENT EXTRUDED PROFILE

Codification	DEFECT ON SURFACE	ASSESSMENT <sup>1</sup>			
	Die lines				
	Strikes				
	Tearing				
	Dark bands				
	Blistering				
	Pick-up				
	Inclusions				
	Overpressure extrusion				
	Slag				
	Oxides				
ASSESSMENT  8. TRACEABILI	☐ SATISFACTORY ☐ NOT SATISFA	ACTORY			
Are the profiles p	roperly identified?				
□YES	□NO				
References for s	pecific alloys				
Are the reference	Are the references for specific alloys properly indicated and available for the inspector?				
□YE	s 🗆 no				

## 9. IN-HOUSE CONTROL

		CONFO	RMITY
DATA RECORDED	MINIMUM FRECIENCY	YES	NO
Production date	Every order		
Production order	Every order		
Reference to cast order	Every order		
Costumer name	Every order		
Alloy and temper	Every order		
Preheating billet - T <sup>a</sup>	Every order		

T <sup>a</sup> at exit of die	Every profile reference	
Dumper pressure	Every profile reference	
Extrusion rate	Every profile reference	
Cooling rate	Every profile reference	
Bit size	Every order	
Cleaning liner cycles	When the alloy is changed	
Stretching data	Every order	
Aging parameters - T <sup>a</sup> - Time	Every load	
Profile visual assessment	Continuous control	

REMARKS:	
Date:	
Inspector's signature	Authorized plant representative's signature

#### **ANNEX I**

## 1. ALLOY COMPOSITION (OES)

According to the lab	orato	ry dod	cumen	its						
Laboratory Nº Report										
Codification					AS	SSES	SMEN	IT		
Codification	Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Cr	Zn	Ti	Mg/Si	Fe/Si
Satisfactory: ✓ No Satisfactory: ×										

## 2. ANODIC CYCLE POLARIZATION

☐ SATISFACTORY ☐ NOT SATISFACTORY

Codification	ASSESSMENT Corrosion potential
TXXX-XX-XX	XXXXX
TXXX-YY-YY	
TXXX-ZZ-ZZ	

#### 3. METALLOGRAPHIC STUDY

#### 3.1 Without polishing process

Codification	ASSESSMENT
TXXX-XX-XX	XXXXX
TXXX-YY-YY	
TXXX-ZZ-ZZ	

## 3.2 With polishing process

Codification	ASSESSMENT
TXXX-XX-XX	XXXXX
TXXX-YY-YY	
TXXX-ZZ-ZZ	

## 3.3 Metallographic study on polishing samples with chemical etching

Codification	ASSESSMENT
TXXX-XX-XX	XXXXX
TXXX-YY-YY	
TXXX-ZZ-ZZ	

#### **CONCLUSIONS**